

Fairfield Comm H S Dist 225

Fairfield, ILLINOIS



ILLINOIS DISTRICT REPORT CARD

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The 2007/2008 school year is the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students, who would have taken the IMAGE in the past, took either the ISAT or PSAE with accommodations; therefore, any comparison with previous years' achievement levels for this subgroup and their schools and school districts should be made with appropriate caution.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION													
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
District	96.1	0.8	1.5	0.4	0.4	0.8	25.5	0.4	1.0	2.7	19.2	96.4	482
State	54.0	19.2	19.9	3.9	0.2	2.7	41.1	7.5	4.1	2.5	14.9	93.3	2,074,167

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

Mobility rate is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

Chronic truants are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL CONTACT*		STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS			
	Percent	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
District	75.6		19.2	13.3	120.5
State	96.8		18.0	13.5	211.6

* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)										
Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12
District										16.2
State										19.6


TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)								
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
District	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.9	53.1	30
State	84.9	8.7	4.9	1.3	0.2	22.9	77.1	131,488

TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)		Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
District:	All Schools	16.4	50.2	46.4	0.0	0.0
	High Poverty Schools					
	Low Poverty Schools					
State:	All Schools	12.4	46.7	53.2	0.7	0.7
	High Poverty Schools	11.9	49.3	50.6	1.2	2.0
	Low Poverty Schools	12.0	38.7	61.2	0.3	0.2

The No Child Left Behind Act requires that information for certain data elements be disaggregated by high- and low-poverty schools. Poverty (low-income) is defined on page 1 of all report cards. High- and low-poverty schools include those in the top and bottom quarters of the poverty distribution of schools in the state. Disaggregated data are reported only if at least one school in your district falls within the high-poverty quarter and at least one school within the low-poverty quarter.


SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES (Full-Time Equivalents)



Salaries and counts of staff are summed across a district based on the percentage of time that each individual is employed as a teacher or an administrator and may or may not reflect the actual paid salaries for the district.

EXPENDITURE BY FUNCTION 2006-07 (Percentages)




REVENUE BY SOURCE 2006-07			
	District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$1,554,945	33.4	57.6
Other Local Funding	\$595,457	12.8	7.3
General State Aid	\$1,994,854	42.9	18.1
Other State Funding	\$319,987	6.9	9.7
Federal Funding	\$189,388	4.1	7.3
TOTAL	\$4,654,631		

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2006-07			
	District	District %	State %
Education	\$3,293,765	61.0	72.6
Operations & Maintenance	\$554,306	10.3	8.5
Transportation	\$328,745	6.1	3.9
Bond and Interest	\$371,453	6.9	6.7
Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$103,947	1.9	1.8
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$743,326	13.8	0.9
Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$0	0.0	5.6
TOTAL	\$5,395,542		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2005 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2005 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2006-07 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2006-07 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$134,573	2.14	\$4,998	\$8,190
State	**	**	\$5,808	\$9,907

** Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.
Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.
Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.
Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.
Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE



The number and percent of students taking the ACT are no longer reported since virtually every eleventh grade student takes the ACT as part of the PSAE.



* Includes graduating students' most recent ACT Assessment scores from an ACT national test date or PSAE testing. Excludes the scores of students who took the test with special accommodations. State averages for ACT data are based on regular public schools and do not include private and special purpose schools.

HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATE													
	All	Gender		Race / Ethnicity						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economic-ly Disadvantaged
		Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
District	92.5	87.5	96.9	93.0	50.0	100.0	100.0					89.5	83.3
State	86.5	84.2	88.8	92.5	74.9	75.7	93.4					81.2	78.2

OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE


These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your district and the state. They represent your district's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.

The 2007/2008 school year is the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students, who would have taken the IMAGE in the past, took either the ISAT or PSAE with accommodations; therefore, any comparison with previous years' achievement levels for this subgroup and their schools and school districts should be made with appropriate caution.




PSAE PERFORMANCE

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the average scores and also the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards in reading, mathematics, and science on PSAE.



PSAE scores range from 120 to 200.



Number of students in this District with PSAE scores in 2008: 108

PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8, and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING AND MATHEMATICS														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
District	*Enrollment	114	63	51	110		1	1		2			23	26
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								0.0	0.0
		Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								0.0
State	*Enrollment	1,080,912	552,428	528,334	584,551	209,802	211,723	42,677	1,747	28,936	71,592	349	153,444	459,352
	Reading	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3
		Mathematics	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3-8 and 11.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR SCIENCE ONLY														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
District	*Enrollment	114	63	51	110		1	1		2			23	26
	Science	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								0.0	0.0
State	*Enrollment	453,766	230,339	223,387	253,443	84,573	85,001	18,167	775	11,107	24,940	141	63,312	180,194
	Science	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.2	1.3	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.7	1.1	0.7

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

Grade 11 - Students with Disabilities

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	District	47.1	41.2	11.8	0.0	11.8	88.2	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	0.0
	State	38.0	43.2	16.7	2.1	42.4	44.3	12.0	1.3	44.3	42.2	11.3	2.1
Non-IEP	District	5.5	37.4	46.2	11.0	6.6	40.7	45.1	7.7	2.2	47.3	44.0	6.6
	State	6.7	35.8	46.1	11.4	7.3	34.9	46.0	11.9	5.2	39.0	44.0	11.8

Grade 11 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	District	22.7	50.0	27.3	0.0	4.5	63.6	31.8	0.0	0.0	72.7	27.3	0.0
	State	19.6	52.0	26.2	2.3	22.3	50.3	25.5	1.9	19.4	57.0	21.7	1.9
Not Eligible	District	9.3	34.9	44.2	11.6	8.1	44.2	39.5	8.1	3.5	50.0	39.5	7.0
	State	6.3	30.4	49.6	13.7	6.6	30.1	49.0	14.3	5.5	32.2	48.0	14.3

2008 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) INFORMATION

Is this district making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No
Is this district making AYP in Reading?	No
Is this district making AYP in Mathematics?	No

Has this district been identified for District Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?	No
2008-09 Federal Improvement Status	
2008-09 State Improvement Status	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		62.5			62.5			90.0		75.0	
All	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	54.1		No	47.7		No			92.5	Yes
White	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	53.7	61.9	No	48.1	56.7	No			93.0	
Black														
Hispanic														
Asian/Pacific Islander														
Native American														
Multiracial /Ethnic														
LEP														
Students with Disabilities														
Economically Disadvantaged														

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions.***
3. For schools not making AYP solely because the IEP group fails to have 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards, 14% may be added to this variable in accordance with the federal 2% flexibility provision.
4. At least 90% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 75% graduation rate for high schools.

* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2007.

** Safe Harbor Targets of 62.5% or above are not printed.

***Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS

Below is a list of the Title I funded schools in the district that are in School Improvement Status as defined by the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

Number of schools in this district: 1

Number of Title I schools: 1

Number of Title I schools in School Improvement Status: 0

Percent of schools in School Improvement Status: 0 %

School ID

School Name

**Years in School
Improvement**